No.	Risk	Mitigation
1	If there is a lack of resource capacity and competing priorities, there is a risk that Finance and HR leads / process owners are not available to fulfil their Improvement Stage responsibilities	 Service process owner responsibilities formalised and agreed. Resource requirements including any backfill agreed as part of improvement plan.
2	If there is a lack of service ownership of the change, there is a risk that improvements are not owned and led by Finance and HR in delivery and following transition into business as usual	 Finance and HR fully engaged in the change with clear roles and accountabilities. Resource requirements to be agreed as part of improvement planning.
3	If there is a lack of in-house knowledge for some functions (e.g. Purchase to Pay, HR Administration, Payroll), there is a risk that the Council's ability to work with IBC to improve transactional processes is limited.	 Transformation Leads recruited with subject matter expertise. Further mitigating actions to be agreed as part of improvement planning.
4	If service managers do not have the influence to be able to change IBC processes, there is a risk that it is not possible to deliver change successfully in IBC processes in a timely fashion or at all	- Re-engagement required with IBC senior stakeholders to review governance arrangements to enable the Council to influence change.
5	If there is a lack of understanding of the importance and use of data within processes, there is a risk of a lack of data quality and effective governance to maintain data quality	 Clarify manager responsibilities, driving and supporting behaviour change to improve data quality. Deliver agreed data cleansing and data governance through improvement stage.
6	If there are competing priorities for IBC transactional teams, there is a risk that it is not possible to secure required support from IBC to support data cleansing activity in the required timescales	Early communication of requirement for support from IBC during Improvement Planning.
7	If there is a lack of communication and engagement to sell the new improvement plan, there is a risk of stakeholder disengagement due to dissatisfaction at the decision not to leave IBC	Positive and ongoing communication emphasising the improvements planned, delivery successes and their benefits.

	If there is a lack of dependency management at the portfolio level, there is a risk that there will be a lack of alignment between this programme and other change initiatives	Programme to be part of Employer of Choice portfolio. Dependency management at portfolio level with effective communication between programmes.
--	---	---